

Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions – Cumulative Effects

Book 10

VERSION: 1.0

DATE: APRIL 2024

Application Document Ref: 10.16

PINS Reference Number: TR020005



Table of Contents

1 Response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions – Cumulative Effects 1



1 Response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions – Cumulative Effects

1.1.1 The below table sets out the Applicant's response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions relating to Cumulative Effects.

ExQ1	Question to:	Question:						
CUMULATI	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS							
CE.1.1	The Applicant RPAs	ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects Does the ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects [APP-045] fully account for the cumulative effects of thE Proposed Development and the delivery of a third runway at Heathrow Airport?						
	RHAs Heathrow Airport Limited	ES Chapter 20: Cumulative Effects and Inter-Relationships [APP-045] does not "fully account" for the cumulative effects of the Project and the delivery of a third runway at Heathrow Airport, if this term is being used to refer to a fully detailed cumulative impact assessment of a third runway project. However, the Applicant does not consider that this is required or practical in the circumstances of this case.						
		To comply with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (the EIA Regulations), an ES should contain a description of the likely significant cumulative impacts arising from the proposed development as well any existing and/or approved projects, as far as that may reasonably be required, having regard to current knowledge and methods of assessment. However a decision-maker is entitled to defer the assessment of cumulative impacts arising from the subsequent development of another project in question, in particular where that development is inchoate, no proposals have been formulated and there is, or is not any, or any adequate, information available on which a cumulative assessment could have been based. ¹						
		In ES Chapter 20: Cumulative Effects and Inter-Relationships [APP-045], the Applicant explained at						

¹ See R (on the application of Together against Sizewell C Ltd) v. SSESNZ [2023] EWCA Civ 1517 at [60]-[61].



paragraphs 20.7.2-6 that, in relation to the Heathrow third runway project, the assessment of cumulative effects fell into this latter category, due to uncertainty relating to the status of the project and its potential environmental effects. The Applicant concluded however that even if it were considered that an assessment of cumulative impacts was to be conducted in the determination of the current application, it had presented as much information about the effects of that project as could reasonably be expected. The Applicant's position is explained further below.

Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 assumes that the term "existing and/or approved development" which is required to be considered cumulatively under the EIA Regulations can include projects as "existing" even before they are at application stage, (including projects on the PINS Programme of Projects where a scoping report has not been submitted, or identified in plans and programmes where such development is reasonably likely to come forward: see paragraph 3.1.5). It advises more generally that for the purposes of the Advice Note, "other existing development and/or approved development" is taken to include existing developments and existing plans and projects that are "reasonably foreseeable" (paragraph 1.4).

It is debatable whether the term "existing project" in the EIA Regulations should be interpreted to include projects which are not even the subject of an application for consent. In so far as the Advice Note indicates that cumulative assessment should take into account reasonably foreseeable projects, the project was announced as the government's preferred scheme to deliver additional airport capacity in October 2016 and when the NPS was published in June 2018 it was expected that Heathrow R3 would be operational by 2030. Whilst work commenced on the Heathrow R3 DCO application, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, work was suspended in 2020 and there is no indication that work to deliver the project will be recommencing. Given the project pause in 2020 and the ongoing uncertainty as to any restart to the consenting work, it is reasonable to judge that the third runway does not require consideration as part of any cumulative assessment for the purposes of Advice Note 17 or the EIA



Regulations more generally.

The Applicant also notes that the Advice Note presents a four-stage approach to the assessment of cumulative effects that applicants may wish to adopt, which anticipates that at the assessment stage (Stage 4), information captured (Stage 3) should include the "proposed design and location information; the proposed programme of construction and operation; and environmental assessments that set out baseline data and effects arising from the 'other existing development and/or approved development". The Applicant does not consider that this information, or other adequate information is available in order to allow for a cumulative assessment as envisaged by the Advice Note.

However, notwithstanding this position, and recognising that the third runway is supported in principle by government policy, the Applicant has considered that project as a sensitivity within its cumulative effects assessment. If cumulative effects with that project are considered, Table 20.7.2 in Chapter 20 includes, as far as possible, information on whether and how such effects may occur. The entries under each topic explain why fuller information cannot be provided at this stage.

The table indicates that, for several environmental topics, it is not anticipated that any likely significant cumulative effects would occur. In respect of other topics, a qualitative assessment is provided to the extent that this can reasonably be provided. Where relevant, the entries in the table have assumed that in general terms, if the third runway at Heathrow were to become operational by the mid-2030s, air traffic levels at Gatwick would likely decline in the immediately following period, by comparison to the scenario where the third runway did not come into operation. In the longer-term, even with the third runway, it is forecast that Gatwick's traffic would subsequently return to the levels forecast without it.

It should be emphasised that the details of any future proposals for a Heathrow third runway will come forward as part of any DCO application in the event that an application is made. Such an application would be subject to its own environmental impact assessment, including a cumulative assessment which took into account as appropriate any consent which had been granted for the Gatwick Northern Runway



		Project.					
CE.1.2	The Applicant	ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects					
		In Table 19.1 of the Jo	oint West Susse	ex LIR [REP	1-068] the Coun	cils list sites that they consider will interact	
		with the Project. Have these been considered in the assessment in the ES?					
		The long and short lis	t of other devel	opments for	the purposes of	the cumulative effects assessment is	
		provided in ES Apper	ndix 20.4.1 Cur	mulative Eff	ects Assessme	ent Long and Short List [APP-216]. This	
		has been subject to co	onsultation with	statutory ar	nd non-statutory	consultees during the EIA process as	
			-			and Inter-Relationships [APP-045]. For	
		• •			•	e ES Chapters 7 to 19 and summarised in	
	Table 20.7.1 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-Relationships [APP-045]						
			•			in cumulative effects for that topic (the	
		methodology is descri			. ,		
				-		68] is reproduced below (Table 1) with one	
			•		•	listed is considered in the ES cumulative	
				•	•	nal ease, each of the developments on the	
		list that have been inc			•		
		Table 1 Developments co					
		Site	Status	Scale	CEA Status	Considered in ES for Cumulative Effects Assessment	
						Assessment	
		West Sussex County Council					



Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure Crawley Borough Counc	Allowed at appeal		Tier 1 development	Included in the short list (reference 19) in ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
Crawley Borough Count	,11			
Crawley Borough Local Plan 2023-2040 Modifications Consultation Draft February 2024		TOTAL 5330 homes 17.93ha employmen t land		The Crawley Borough Local Plan 2023- 2040 Main Modifications Consultation Draft February 2024 increases the provision of new dwellings from 5030 to 5330 (net additional) and employment land increases from 13.73ha to 17.93 ha between 2023 and 2040 across a number of sites. No new sites have been added and this change is to reflect the amended plan period (starting in 2023 not 2024) and to correct a factual error in relation to the employment land trajectory. The consultation closed on 25 March 2024 and this is not yet an adopted plan. A number of allocations in the adopted Crawley Local Plan are identified as tier 3 developments due to the level of uncertainty and included on the short list given their scale and location. Also a number of allocations in the Crawley Local Plan 2021-2037 (Regulation 19) are short listed given their scale and location.



Gatwick Green Strategic	Allocated in	17.93ha	Tier 3 Identified	Included in the short list (ref 383) as a tier 3 in
Employment Site	mCBLP 2024	minimum	as Reasonably	ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
			Foreseeable	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
			and therefore do	
			not form part of	
			future baseline.	
			Added to the	
			"with Project"	
			scenarios.	
Forge Wood	Under	784	Included due to	Included in the short list (refs 403 and 404) in
	Construction	dwellings	proximity to the	ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
		remaining	Airport and	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
			scale	
Town Centre Sites	Identified in	Various	Included due to	Included in the short list (refs 390 and 392) in
	SHLEA/mCBL	sites	scale	ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
	PLocal Plan	totalling		Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
		2,987		
Horsham District Counc	il			
Kilnwood Vale	Under	Up to 2,500	Tier 1. Included	Included in the short list (ref 288) in ES
	construction		due to falling	Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
			within Ecology	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
			and Landscape	
			Zols, and scale	
			of development.	



Tier 1. Included	Included in the short list (refs 294 and 296 to
due to falling	298 and 355) in ES Appendix 20.4.1
within Ecology	Cumulative Effects Assessment Long and
Zol and due to	Short List [APP-216].
scale and	
temporal scope.	
362	
Also included as	
Tier 3	
development as	
a Strategic site	
allocation in the	
current Local	
Plan.	
Not included	Included in the short list (ref 355) in ES
	Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216] for
	the adopted plan comprising 2,500 homes.
	Regulation 19 consultation closed on 1st March
	2024. It is therefore considered that this
	development is captured on the short list.
	due to falling within Ecology Zol and due to scale and temporal scope. 362 Also included as Tier 3 development as a Strategic site allocation in the current Local Plan.



Land West of Ifield	Regulation 19	Up to 3,000	Tier 2. Included	Included in the short list (ref 353) in ES
	Horsham	homes	due to pre-	Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
	District Local		application EIA	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
	Plan draft		scoping opinion	
	allocation		having been	
			sought, nature	
			and scale. Also	
			within Traffic,	
			Landscape,	
			Ecology, Air	
			Quality and	
			Heritage Zols.	
			_	
Former Novartis Site,	Site allocation	Up to 300	Tier 1. Included	Included in the short list (ref 299) in ES
North Horsham	with outline	homes,	due to falling	Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects
	permission	flexible	with Ecology	Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
		commercial	Zol, scale and	
		space	nature of	
			development.	
			·	
Land West of	Under	540 homes	Not included	This is located outside the search area
Southwater	construction			(described in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20
				Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships
				[APP-045]) and has therefore not been
				included on the long list as it is not considered
				to have potential for cumulative effects
				together with the Project.
				3



Land Northwest of	Reg 19	1000	Not included	This is located outside the search area
Southwater	Horsham	homes		(described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter
	District Local			20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships
	Plan allocation			[APP-045]) and has therefore not been
				included on the long list as it is not considered
				to have potential for cumulative effects
				together with the Project.
Land East of	Reg 19	Up to 650	Not included	This is located outside the search area
Billingshurst	Horsham	homes		(described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter
	District Local			20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships
	Plan allocation			[APP-045]) and has therefore not been
				included on the long list as it is not considered
				to have potential for cumulative effects
				together with the Project.
Mid Sussex District Cou	ıncil			
Brookleigh, Burgess Hill	Under	3,500		This is located outside the search area
	construction	homes		(described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter
				20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships
				[APP-045]) and has therefore not been
				included on the long list as it is not considered
				to have potential for cumulative effects
				together with the Project.
Woodgate, Pease	Under	600 homes		Included in the short list due to location and
Pottage	construction			scale (ref 447) in ES Appendix 20.4.1
, and the second				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,



			Cumulative Effects Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
Heathy Wood, Copthorne	Under construction	503 homes	Included in the short list as tier 3 for 303 units for DM/18/4321 (ref 334) and also as DM/21/0644 (ref 307) for reserved matters for 197 dwellings as tier 1 in ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
Science and Technology Park, Burgess Hill	Development Plan allocation	23 hectare employmen t land allocation	This is located outside the search area (described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships [APP-045]) and has therefore not been included on the long list as it is not considered to have potential for cumulative effects together with the Project.
The Hub, Burgess Hill	Under construction	15ha Employmen t land allocation	This is located outside the search area (described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships [APP-045]) and has therefore not been included on the long list as it is not considered to have potential for cumulative effects together with the Project.
Brookleigh, Burgess Hill	Adopted District Plan allocation	10 ha Employmen t land	This is located outside the search area (described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships [APP-045]) and has therefore not been



			included on the long list as it is not considered to have potential for cumulative effects together with the Project.
Crabbet Park, Copthorne	Submission Draft District Plan allocation	2,000 homes	Allocation is not in an adopted plan although has been included in the short list due to location and scale (ref 448) in ES Appendix 20.4.1 Cumulative Effects Assessment Long and Short List [APP-216].
West of Burgess Hill	Submission Draft District Plan allocation	1,250 homes	This is located outside the search area (described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships [APP-045]) and has therefore not been included on the long list as it is not considered to have potential for cumulative effects together with the Project.
Sustainable Community at Sayers Common	Submission Draft District Plan allocation	2,360 homes	This is located outside the search area (described out in Section 20.4 of ES Chapter 20 Cumulative Effects and Inter-relationships [APP-045]) and has therefore not been included on the long list as it is not considered to have potential for cumulative effects together with the Project.